

# Survey statistic

Online statistic of survey **STG**.

Question(s): 1. What was your overall impression of the event? (Single)

## 1. What was your overall impression of the event? (Single)

answers		perc.	votes
1) Good		47%	22
2) Answer 2		43%	20
3) Answer 3		9%	4
4) Answer 4		0%	0
5) Answer 5		2%	1
6) Poor		0%	0

average: 1.68	average deviation: 0.64	standard deviation: 0.80
total votes: 47	average answering time: 29 sec.	average reached points: 0



Auto logout in 3595 seconds









# Survey statistic

Online statistic of survey **STG**.

Question(s): 2. How do you rate the organisation of the event? (Single)

## 2. How do you rate the organisation of the event? (Single)

answers		perc.	votes
1) Good		55%	26
2) Answer 2		38%	18
3) Answer 3		6%	3
4) Answer 4		0%	0
5) Answer 5		0%	0
6) Poor		0%	0

average: 1.51	average deviation: 0.56	standard deviation: 0.61
total votes: 47	average answering time: 50 sec.	average reached points: 0



Auto logout in 3600 seconds



## Survey statistic

Online statistic of survey **STG**.

Question(s): 3. How is your overall rating of the papers? (Single)

### 3. How is your overall rating of the papers? (Single)

answers		perc.	votes
1) Good		15%	7
2) Answer 2		43%	20
3) Answer 3		32%	15
4) Answer 4		6%	3
5) Answer 5		4%	2
6) Poor		0%	0

average: 2.43	average deviation: 0.79	standard deviation: 0.96
total votes: 47	average answering time: 11 sec.	average reached points: 0



Auto logout in 3600 seconds

## Survey statistic

Online statistic of survey **STG**.

Question(s): 4. Which three of the papers did you like best? (Multiple)

### 4. Which three of the papers did you like best? (Multiple)

answers		perc.	votes
1) Clean and efficient power production and propulsion: What is the future?	<div style="background-color: red; width: 34%;"></div>	34%	16
2) Port logistics: Challenges of the future	<div style="background-color: red; width: 0%;"></div>	0%	0
3) The effect of biocide free foul release systems on vessel 's performance	<div style="background-color: red; width: 13%;"></div>	13%	6
4) Ship routing services	<div style="background-color: red; width: 23%;"></div>	23%	11
5) Safety regulations: How can they be applied more economically?	<div style="background-color: red; width: 9%;"></div>	9%	4
6) Long lifetime design: Does quality make sound business sense?	<div style="background-color: red; width: 13%;"></div>	13%	6
7) Exhaust gas for power generation: How efficient are state-of-the-art methods?	<div style="background-color: red; width: 26%;"></div>	26%	12
8) Efficient hull forms: What can be gained?	<div style="background-color: red; width: 43%;"></div>	43%	20
9) Improve propulsion with tuned rudder systems	<div style="background-color: red; width: 15%;"></div>	15%	7
10) Global warming: Consequences for ship operation	<div style="background-color: red; width: 9%;"></div>	9%	4
11) Marine heavy fuel oils: Is there an alternative?	<div style="background-color: red; width: 26%;"></div>	26%	12
12) Changing the face of marine fuels and the effect on ship operations	<div style="background-color: red; width: 13%;"></div>	13%	6
13) Influence of environmental legislation: To what extent is efficiency of ship operation influenced by politics?	<div style="background-color: red; width: 2%;"></div>	2%	1
14) Prime mover: Are there alternatives to the diesel engine?	<div style="background-color: red; width: 13%;"></div>	13%	6
15) Diesel-electric propulsion concepts: How to match environmental and economical challenges?	<div style="background-color: red; width: 11%;"></div>	11%	5
16) Efficient propulsion for seagoing vessels	<div style="background-color: red; width: 26%;"></div>	26%	12
17) Twin screw propulsion: Some aspects of efficiency and redundancy	<div style="background-color: red; width: 15%;"></div>	15%	7

total votes: 47

average answering time: 259 sec.

average reached points: 0






Auto logout in 3599 seconds

# Survey statistic

Online statistic of survey **STG**.

Question(s): 5. Were you able to establish new business contacts at this... (Single)

5. Were you able to establish new business contacts at this event? (Single)

answers		perc.	votes
1) Yes		60%	28
2) No		15%	7
3) No statement		26%	12

average: 1.66      average deviation: 0.79      standard deviation: 0.86

total votes: 47      average answering time: 12 sec.      average reached points: 0



Auto logout in 3599 seconds

# Survey statistic

Online statistic of survey **STG**.

Question(s): 6. How do you rate STG's on-site management of the ev... (Single)

6. How do you rate STG's on-site management of the event? (Single)

answers		perc.	votes
1) Good		49%	23
2) Answer 2		40%	19
3) Answer 3		6%	3
4) Answer 4		2%	1
5) Answer 5		0%	0
6) Poor		2%	1

average: 1.70	average deviation: 0.69	standard deviation: 0.94
total votes: 47	average answering time: 13 sec.	average reached points: 0



Auto logout in 3600 seconds



# Survey statistic

Online statistic of survey **STG**.

Question(s): 7. How do you rate the services of Hotel Hafen Hamburg? (Single)

## 7. How do you rate the services of Hotel Hafen Hamburg? (Single)

answers		perc.	votes
1) Good		38%	18
2) Answer 2		55%	26
3) Answer 3		4%	2
4) Answer 4		2%	1
5) Answer 5		0%	0
6) Poor		0%	0

average: 1.70	average deviation: 0.54	standard deviation: 0.65
total votes: 47	average answering time: 7 sec.	average reached points: 0



Auto logout in 3599 seconds



## Survey statistic

Online statistic of survey **STG**.

Question(s): 8. Did the event meet your expectations? (Single)

### 8. Did the event meet your expectations? (Single)

answers		perc.	votes
1) Completely	<div style="width: 23%; background-color: red; height: 15px;"></div>	23%	11
2) Answer 2	<div style="width: 53%; background-color: red; height: 15px;"></div>	53%	25
3) Answer 3	<div style="width: 17%; background-color: red; height: 15px;"></div>	17%	8
4) Answer 4	<div style="width: 2%; background-color: red; height: 15px;"></div>	2%	1
5) Answer 5	<div style="width: 2%; background-color: red; height: 15px;"></div>	2%	1
6) Not at all	<div style="width: 2%; background-color: red; height: 15px;"></div>	2%	1

average: 2.13	average deviation: 0.66	standard deviation: 1.00
total votes: 47	average answering time: 23 sec.	average reached points: 0



Auto logout in 3599 seconds



# Survey statistic

Online statistic of survey **STG**.

Question(s): 9. What did you like best/What bothered you? (Text)

show text inputs (**WARNING**: Don't click on surveys with a large number of finished interviews)

## 9. What did you like best/What bothered you? (Text)

### 1) Answer 1

even the amount of time dealing with such an matter wasn't enough at all, i was surprised by the lots of topics and spokesman taking care about it, the most impressed me the routing sevice speech and the whole engineering-part (ship building, structures and engine department) - as a student myself i was a bit concerned that there were only three of my "kind" at this conference. nevermind for my part, i was very glad to joined the speeches. i'm looking forward to be part of the next one.

Meeting several colleagues from other countries. Good agenda. Very nice location and environment of hotel. Reasonable price of conference: good value for money.

++ Perfect organization! ++ Overall structure of conference excellent + Important topic + many good papers - some very poor papers: to much advertising for own company, limited relation to conference topic -- proceedings missing: important to have them on hand already during the conference, powerpoint too little information

Generally a great disapointment and beneath the standards of STG. Almost all "papers" were a repeat of manufacturer's sales pitches. The paper from TUHH was unbelievable - has this ever heard of global warming? Please bury forever the "Alt to diesel engines" for LNG's which has been around for three years and is full of incorrect and unscientific information. Best was Wilhelmssen and the oil guy (BP?) with the paper about fuel quality.

Twin screw propulsion by John S. Carlton Bothering:Diesel-electric propulsion-poor english

It bothered me af few speeches had a tough of salestalk

good opportunity to meet business partners

I would have liked more written material and not "just" powerpoints, but I guess that will come on the CD?

Papaesr were too much "sales talks". No provocation, or huge discussions.

Good friendly and helpful atmosphere from the organisers

I appreciated a lot the opportunity to hear from very valuable speakers the state of the art into ship efficiency matter and to exchange during breaks (above all) useful considerations.

The papers presented should go more into details. Most of the papers just touched the problems.

some presentations were not really appropriate for a ship efficiency conference

More company independant papers, i.e. from universities, consultancies, model basins etc.

-The main topic in gernal 'ship efficiency' -Not enough special attention on future fuels and technology

consistently interesting papers / -

- Interesting papers - Parking/expensive hotel

Like: the large number of abroads botherd: the price

- More international Participants and Presenters

In average, high quality of the speeches. Some speeches had very low quality. This should be avoided beforehand by selecting known and reputable speakers

How owners/operators pay for efficient.

In general I prefer really papers instead of just a sample of power point presentations, but I also know that is more and more the case in a busy world ! I prefer "the good old days" when naval architects had time to be careful about making papers.

The selection of papers/presentations was very good.

Meeting some important people / catering space too small for the number of people attending

total votes: 47      average answering time: 86 sec.      average reached points: 0






# Survey statistic

Online statistic of survey **STG**.

Question(s): 10. Would you attend another Ship Efficiency event in the fu... (Single)

10. Would you attend another Ship Efficiency event in the future? (Single)

answers		perc.	votes
1) Yes		74%	35
2) No		0%	0
3) Perhaps		26%	12

average: 1.51	average deviation: 0.76	standard deviation: 0.87
total votes: 47	average answering time: 6 sec.	average reached points: 0






Auto logout in 3599 seconds

# Survey statistic

Online statistic of survey **STG**.

Question(s): 11. Did you attend other STG events in the past? (Single)

11. Did you attend other STG events in the past? (Single)

answers		perc.	votes
1) Yes		62%	29
2) No		38%	18
3) No statement		0%	0

average: 1.38

average deviation: 0.47

standard deviation: 0.49

total votes: 47

average answering time: 6 sec.

average reached points: 0






Auto logout in 3599 seconds

# Survey statistic

Online statistic of survey **STG**.

Question(s): 12. Are you planning on attending other STG events in the fu... (Single)

12. Are you planning on attending other STG events in the future? (Single)

answers		perc.	votes
1) Yes		74%	35
2) No		0%	0
3) No statement		26%	12

average: 1.51      average deviation: 0.76      standard deviation: 0.87

total votes: 47      average answering time: 15 sec.      average reached points: 0



Auto logout in 3574 seconds